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Consistency Is Key

Consistency is essential for soil test results to be useful and comparable from year to year. For example, changing soil sampling depth from 3 to 6 inches will drastically change soil test results. Four inches is good for golf turf and 6 inches is recommended for lawns and athletic fields. To further ensure consistency, routinely use a lab you trust. Different laboratories using similar testing methods can still produce different soil test results.

There are many different types of soil testing methods. Some laboratories will offer many, but others may offer just a few. Pick a laboratory that offers the methods you want to use. The Mehlich-3 soil test method is preferred for turf because it works across a range of soil pH values (unlike the Bray-1 or Olsen). It extracts nutrients in the soil solution and on cation exchange sites, and it provides an estimate of plant available phosphorus. Some fertility consultants call these results “total nutrients” and nutrients in a saturated paste extract (nutrients only the soil solution) “available nutrients.” Therefore, saturated paste extract method drastically underestimates nutrient availability.

Best Practices: Pick a consistent sampling depth, lab, and soil test method.

Interpreting Soil Testing Results

A soil test report has three parts: results, interpretations, and recommendations (Figure 1). Results are the actual values produced in the laboratory. They are typically in either ppm or lb/acre (divide lb/acre by 2 to convert to ppm). The results express the level of plant-available nutrients in the soil. Interpretations generally define nutrients as “low,” “medium,” or “high,” and recommendations specify how much fertilizer to apply. Some interpretations and recommendations on test reports can be ignored because they are unnecessarily complex, confusing, or not science-based.
Other results are important and require interpretations to be helpful. It takes a great deal of research to develop soil test interpretations for turf, and those findings are specific to the particular soil test method and the location of the research. While research findings are very specific, they can help generate reliable soil test interpretations. The following soil test interpretations are derived from scientific studies and are useful to turfgrass fertility management.

**Phosphorus**  
*Desired Range: 25 to 50 ppm Mehlich-3*

Phosphorus (P) is an essential plant nutrient, but can also cause water quality problems by promoting eutrophication of lakes and streams. The goal of P fertilization is to supply enough to sustain healthy turfgrass growth yet minimize environmental risk. Soil test calibration studies and Minimum Levels for Sustainable Nutrition (MLSN) guidelines suggest that soil test P levels remain above 7 to 21 ppm Mehlich-3 P (Figure 2). To ensure a margin of safety, turf managers should strive to keep soil test P levels between 25 and 50 ppm. Turf soils below 25 ppm should receive starter fertilizer to increase soil test phosphorus levels. Turf stands with low test P levels between 25 and 50 ppm should also receive a light maintenance application of phosphorus annually (1/8-1/4 lb of P2O5 per lb nitrogen [N] applied annually). Monitor soil test P levels over time and adjust the P:N fertilizer ratios to keep your P2O5 per lb nitrogen applied annually. When that range is increased to provide a margin of safety across that range. If returning clippings, you can be on the lower side of that range. If returning clippings, you can be on the lower side of the range. Apply K in the spring and lightly throughout the summer. Be careful with high rates of KCl in summer (>1.0 lb) because it has a high salt index. Avoid application of K in the fall. Turf sites with greater than 80 ppm soil test K do not require K fertilizer.

**Soil Organic Matter Content**  
*Desired Range: No recommended range*

Accumulation of organic matter in the soil is a necessary consequence of turfgrass management. Fertilization, irrigation, and sound cultural practices encourage root and shoot production, which contributes to soil organic matter. There is not a goal for soil organic matter (SOM) content because it varies with a number of factors. A new sand-based golf putting green, for example, will have very low SOM levels while a lawn soil amended with rich compost will have much greater SOM levels. Both situations are normal. SOM levels also tend to increase as a new turf stand ages. After several decades, the turf ecosystem will stabilize and SOM won’t change as much.

Monitor changes in SOM from year to year as a way to assess your agronomic program. Rapid buildup of SOM, especially from thatch accumulation, is a sign of excessive fertilization or irrigation and infrequent cultivation. Consider measuring the thickness of the thatch and write it on the soil test report for reference. SOM that does not change, or only slightly increases year to year, indicates the ecosystem is stable and good agronomics are being employed. Continue to monitor thatch accumulation when taking soil samples and adjust topdressing accordingly. A large decline in SOM could be the result of aggressive cultivation during the previous year.

**Potassium**  
*Desired Range: 40 to 80 ppm Mehlich-3*

Potassium (K) is a salt that is mainly used to control water content within the turf plant. Unlike P, soil test calibration studies for K are generally less conclusive. Research shows that turf can have acceptable visual quality across a wide range of soil test K levels. This observation has been echoed in the MLSN guidelines, which examined K fertility levels across thousands of soil samples. This makes determination of a critical point challenging. Recent research has indicated that leaf tissue K greater than 2 percent can help control anthracnose in annual bluegrass but also encouraged snow mold in annual bluegrass and creeping bentgrass. Therefore, managers should apply K early in the season and then lean off K into the fall and winter. Like P, K requirements are influenced by N fertilization. Sites with soil test K levels lower than 40 ppm should receive an application of slightly more K than N annually. If you have a turf site with soil test K levels between 40 and 80 ppm, you should aim to apply 0.75 to 1.0 lb of K2O per lb of N applied. Turf grown on sandy soils needs to be on the higher side of that range. If returning clippings, you can be on the lower side of the range. Apply K in the spring and lightly throughout the summer. Be careful with high rates of KCl in summer (>1.0 lb) because it has a high salt index. Avoid application of K in the fall. Turf sites with greater than 80 ppm soil test K do not require K fertilizer.

**Soil pH**  
*Desired Range: 5.5 to 8.0*

Soil pH influences soil nutrient availability and microbial communities. It is commonly measured from saturated paste extract (ratio of soil to water which varies with the lab). Turfgrasses are fairly pH insensitive because they excrete chelating molecules from their roots to help extract soil nutrients that would otherwise be rendered unavailable by high or low pH. Cold or warm soils can sometimes cause root dysfunction, which can lead to micronutrient deficiency in early spring and mid-summer on high pH soils.

Application of lime is recommended if soil pH is less than 5.5 to optimize nutrient availability and reduce the risk of aluminum toxicity. Consult the soil test report for lime recommendations. At high soil pH, consider the use of acidifying fertilizers such as ammonium sulfate or elemental sulfur to reduce soil pH. It is possible to slowly reduce soil pH in weakly buffered soils while other soils — especially calcareous sands — are highly buffered and resist pH change. Application of micronutrient fertilizers, such as foliar iron sulfate, may
improve turfgrass color when hot or cold soil temperatures reduce the plant’s ability to take up micronutrients.

**Soil Salinity and Sodicity**

**Soil salinity: Species dependent, <3 dS/m**

**Exchangeable sodium percentage: <15%**

Salt problems are generally more of an issue in the arid southwestern United States but problems can occur in Nebraska. Risk is greatest during dry growing seasons on turf irrigated with water high in salts. A saline soil has high amounts of salts (Na, K, Ca, Mg, etc.), which reduces the plant’s ability to take up water. Salinity can be reduced by leaching salts from the soil with heavy irrigation. Addition of gypsum (CaSO4) will not improve soil salinity problems, and actually can make them worse since gypsum itself is a salt. Soil salinity is measured via electrical conductivity of the saturated paste extract (ECe). Cool-season turfgrasses can tolerate salinity up to 1.5 dS/m ECe. Bluegrasses are more sensitive than other Nebraska turfgrasses. They will begin to struggle when salinity exceeds 1.5 dS/m ECe. Other grasses struggle when ECe exceeds 3.0. Deep irrigation leaches salt from the soil.

A sodic soil has a high proportion of sodium (Na) relative to other cations (Ca, Mg, and K). Sodium causes clay particles to disperse, which destroys soil structure and reduces permeability. Applications of gypsum are recommended to displace Na in sodic soils. Sodicity is not a concern in sandy soils (including sand-based golf and sports turf) because they have minimal clay content and lack a well-defined soil structure. Gypsum will not improve soil physical properties of sandy soils and is not recommended. Soil sodicity is measured via exchangeable soil sodium percentage (ESP). Some labs report sodicity as SAR of the soil saturated paste extract. However, SAR is more appropriate for water test results. Soil is classified as sodic when the exchangeable sodium percentage exceeds 15 percent. Fine-textured soils with ESP values approaching 15 percent should be treated with gypsum to displace sodium in the soil at 30 to 100 lb per 1,000 ft. This practice is not recommended for sand-based soils.

**Things to Ignore**

Soil test reports typically have a wealth of other results, interpretations, and recommendations. Typically, anything other than the factors described previously can be ignored because there is little scientific proof to support those recommendations. For example, most test reports offer base cation saturation ratios (BCSR). It stems from a theory proposed in the 1940s that an “ideal” ratio of Ca, Mg, and K in the soil would promote plant growth. Since then, that theory has been consistently proven to be incorrect, including in turf. Turf plants selectively take up nutrients as needed regardless of the ratio of those nutrients in the soil. It doesn’t make sense to apply nutrients that don’t elicit a plant response.

Soil test values, interpretations, and recommendations for N, sulfur (S), and micronutrients should also be discounted. Nitrogen and S have complex and dynamic nutrient cycles in soil. Levels for N and S can change more rapidly than P and K. Soil test results for those nutrients likely changed between sampling and the time the soil test report was received. Micronutrients and S are also problematic because it’s rare to observe deficiencies in turf. Without calibration data of turf response to a nutrient, it’s impossible to accurately develop soil test interpretations. The best way to manage S and micronutrients is to be flexible and let your eyes and experience determine if they are needed. Apply a small amount of product to the turf and watch for a response. If there is no response, why apply the product?

**Simplified Soil Testing**

Soil testing can be both helpful and simple. The key is to know what is important and what values can be ignored. Be consistent in sampling methods, sample from similar areas each year, and use the same soil testing method/lab. The Mehlich-3 is a great method that both works across a wide range of soils and has calibration data to guide interpretations. The most useful information is found in the results section of the report. Look for phosphorus, potassium, soil organic matter, and soil pH. Soil salinity/sodicity values can also be useful if there is a history with those problems. Soil testing can be a valuable decision-making tool for turf nutrient management, but it is only as useful as you make it. How you sample and what you do with the information is ultimately up to you.
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Soil Test Results Interpretation and Recommendation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil Test Result</th>
<th>Desired Value</th>
<th>Soil Test Result</th>
<th>Annual Fertilizer Recommendations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Phosphorus (P)</td>
<td>25-50 ppm&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Less than 25</td>
<td>&gt;0.25 lbs. P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; per lb N applied&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25-50</td>
<td>0.25 lbs. P&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O&lt;sub&gt;5&lt;/sub&gt; per lb N applied&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 50</td>
<td>No P fertilizer required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil organic matter (SOM)</td>
<td>No recommended range</td>
<td>Much greater than previous year</td>
<td>Reduce inputs (nitrogen and water)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Slightly greater or same as previous year</td>
<td>Increase cultivation and topdressing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Much less than previous years</td>
<td>Some increase is normal in new turf stands – continue good management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potassium (K)</td>
<td>40-80 ppm&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Less than 40 ppm&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>&gt;1 lb K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O per lb N applied&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>40-80 ppm&lt;sup&gt;i&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.75 to 1 lb K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O per lb N applied&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 80 ppm</td>
<td>No K&lt;sub&gt;2&lt;/sub&gt;O required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soil pH</td>
<td>5.5-8.0&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Less than 5.5</td>
<td>Consider lime application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6.0-8.0</td>
<td>No remediation required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 8.0</td>
<td>Consider use of acidifying fertilizer; potential micronutrient limitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Salinity</td>
<td>&lt; 3 dS/m&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Less than 1.5 dS/m</td>
<td>Low salinity risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5 to 3.0 dS/m</td>
<td>Bluegrasses sensitive, leach soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 3.0 dS/m</td>
<td>Most turfgrasses sensitive, leach soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodicity (native soils only)</td>
<td>&lt;5% ESP</td>
<td>Less than 5% ESP</td>
<td>Low sodium risk in fine-texture soil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>5-15% ESP</td>
<td>Consider gypsum treatment to improve permeability of native soils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Greater than 15%</td>
<td>Sodic soil, treat native soils with gypsum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All other nutrients (Ca, Mg, S, N, Fe, etc.)</td>
<td>No reliable/science-based soil test interpretations for these nutrients</td>
<td>Confirm deficiency with tissue testing or small applications to turf to verify fertilizer response</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> Mehlich-3 soil test method
<sup>b</sup> Demand for P and K fertilizer is affected by nitrogen fertilizer, soil type/environment, and clipping management. For example, turf on a native soil, clippings removed, and fertilized annually with 4 lb of nitrogen/1000 ft<sup>2</sup> would need about 1 lb of phosphorus (P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>) and 3 lb of potassium (K<sub>2</sub>O)/1000 ft<sup>2</sup> to sustain soil test levels. Returning clippings reduces those P and K requirements by 50 percent. These ratios are good starting values and may need to be adjusted to sustain soil test P and K levels at any particular location. More information can be found at http://goo.gl/0wrnmB.
<sup>c</sup> Saturated soil paste extract method
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