If Organic is So Good, Then Why am I Tearing up my Greens to Get Rid of it?



There	of Wig Sorr Way Net of Comman Seeding (PDP), with and Log LL		Agreement and Harrison	e		and -		a
KIM DELEMENT THE MY REAL THE SAME THE SAME THE SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAME SAM	UK MAY RESULTS THE LAND DECREDING IN THE DECREDING IN THE DECREDING IN THE DECREDING OF DECREDING OF DEF.	_	TURFGRASS SCIE	NCE			_	_
Extension Presentations Interview of the second	d Why's (or Why Net) of Dormant Seeling (PDF, ork and Logi Li	=	-	ENCERTA TUR AND	RESEARCH	THEY ADVID	INVERTIGATE PROTECT	
SCFOII Network Weed control and meri sefty of single and sequential appleations of herbicades over spring seedings (PDT, 542KB). down Mart Sousch and Lauji Li	neni and nurl safety of single and sequential ens of herbicides over spring seedings (PDF, 5426B) sek and Lugi Li	Then scroll	Next and adapts (or adapted) of Connect Destings NetXisSa	How's and Why's (or LIMB) Marit Secords and Lor Weed control and to applications of herbi- Mart Search and Lor	e Why Not) of Dorms gi Li rif safety of single an cides over spring so pi Li	unt Seeding (PDF, ul sequential edings (PDF, 582K	8).	

ASA Monograph (3RD Edition)

Chapter 12

Characterization, Development, and Management of Organic Matter in Turfgrass Systems

R.E. Gaussoin, Dep. of Agronomy and Horticulture, Univ. of Nebraska W.L. Berndt, Dep. of Resort and Hospitality Management,

Florida Gulf Coast University C.A. Dockrell, Teagasc College of Amenity Horticulture

Dublin, Ireland R.A. Drijber, Dep. of Agronomy and Horticulture, Univ. of Nebraska

The organic matter journey.....

- USGA/EIFG Greens Study (9 years).
- People a lot brighter than me
- "Talking Turf" GCSAA conversation.
- Paul Rieke, USGA visit
- Conversation with Paul Vermeulen. Director, Competitions Agronomy at PGA TOUR, former USGA Agronomist.
- Great funding support from USGA (initially), NE-GCSA, GCSA of SD, Peaks and Prairies GCSA, industry and a slew of GC supers (3 years).
- Road Show.

Physical And Chemical Characteristics Of Aging Golf Greens

Roch Gaussoin, PhD Jason Lewis Ty McClellan Chas Schmid Bob Shearman, PhD



Treatments

- rootzone Mix
 - 80:20 (sand/peat)
 - 80:15:5 (sand/peat/soil)
- Grow-In Procedure
 - Accelerated
 - Controlled

Project Schedule (Phase I)						
1996	1997	1998	1999	2000		
Greens con:	Greens construction (one set per year)					
	Seeding					

Project Schedule (Phase II)					
2002	2003	2004	2005		
Data collec influenced I	lion on soil phy by age, rootzor	vsical and ch ne materials	emical charac and grow-in p	cteristics as procedures.	

	Materials and Methods						
	9 yr old green	10 yr old green	12 yr old green	13 yr old green			
As	As of 2009						







- Formation of mat layer currently increasing approximately <u>0.65 cm annually</u> (following establishment year).
- No visible layering, only a <u>transition</u> is evident between mat and original rootzone.
- <u>Topdressing program</u>
 - Light, Frequent
 - every 10-14 days (depending on growth) and combined with verticutting
 - Heavy, Infrequent
 - 2x annually (spring/fall) and combined with aerification



Materials and Methods

- 2004 rootzone samples taken below mat layer from each soil treatment and sent to Hummel labs for Quality Control Test (24 total samples) & tested against original quality control test (z-score).
- · Other analysis also completed



Change in Rootzone Particle Size Distribution

- All rootzones tested in 2004 showed increased proportion of fine sand (0.15 – 0.25 mm) with decreased proportion of gravel (> 2.0 mm) and very coarse sand (2.0 – 1.0 mm).
- 5 of 8 rootzones were significant (zscore) for increased fine sand content.



Conclusions

 The K_{SAT} decrease over time *may* be due to organic matter accumulation above and in the original rootzone and/or the increased fine sand content originating from topdressing sand

Organic Matter Management Study

Objectives

1. Determine if conventional hollow tine is more effective than solid tine aerification at managing organic matter accumulation

Organic Matter Management Study

Objectives

. Determine if conventional hollow tine is nore effective than solid time prification at nanaging organic matter accuration

2. Determine if venting methods are effective at managing OM accumulation





Materials and Methods

- Green Age:
 - 12 years
 - 9 years
- Data collected:
 - OM% (pre-cultivation/monthly)
 - Single wall infiltration (monthly)

NOTE: All treatments received the same topdressing quantity (22 ft³/M) and different frequency

OM Data Analysis Year 1

• No differences between green age except for higher % in older green

OM Data Analysis Year 1

No differences between green age

• No differences among venting methods

OM Data Analysis Year 1

No differences between green age cept for higher % in older green Notestferences among venting methods

• No interactions with solid/hollow/none



OM Data Analysis Year 2

 No differences between green age except for higher % in older green

OM Data Analysis Year 2

No differences between green age

No differences among venting methods

OM Data Analysis Year 2

No differences between green age coept for higher % in older green Notes ferences among venting methods

• No interactions with solid/hollow/none

OM Data Analysis Year 2

- cept for higher % in older green
- lerences among venting methods
- No differences among solid/hollow/none



What these data do/don't suggest

- Topdressing is the most consistent and repeatable factor in OM management
 Cultivation, when topdressing quantity was equal, was insignificant as a means to control OM
- However, a superintendent must use whatever tools they have at their disposal to insure sand is making it into the profile and not the mower buckets

Topdressing interval relative to Tine/LIC combinations (22 cu ft/M)*

*Observed and calculated based on displacement and surface area opened

- NONE/NON - 5-10 days
- Solid & Hollow/NONE
- 7-14 days
- Solid & Hollow/LIC – 14-18 days





Project Objective

- ►National Survey
 - > Determine cause and effect relationship among maintenance practices and their interactions relative to surface OM accumulation

2006/07/08 Samples

- Sixteen states
 - Nebraska, South Dakota, Iowa, Wyoming, Colorado, Washington, Wisconsin, Illinois, New Jersey, Minnesota, New Mexico, Montana, Hawaii, California, Connecticut, Arkansas.
- 117 golf courses sampled
 - More than 1600 samples









Is the age effect misleading?

- Sampling issues:
 - Mat depth increases as green ages resulting in more OM in the same volume soil.
 - Because deposition is relatively uniform, % per unit depth within the true mat layer is relatively uniform









Survey Summary

- None of the variables collected, by themselves, or in combination with others, <u>predicted</u>OM
- Courses using >18 cubic ft*/M of topdressing with or without "venting" consistently had lower OM
- Of the <u>known</u> cultivars, no differences in OM were evident

*1 ft³ = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd³ = 2700 lbs





Topdressing

Old Tom Morris (1821–1908) is thought to have discovered the benefits of topdressing accidentally when he spilled a wheelbarrow of sand on a putting green and noted how the turf thrived shortly afterward (Hurdzan, 2004).

J.B. Beard is his classic textbook "Turfgrass Science & Culture, 1973 writes:

"The most important management practice for OM management is topdressing"



GreenKeeper Survey cool season only, mark all that apply

In the last 5-10 years, on our greens, our facility has:

- □ Increased topdressing quantity.
- Increased topdressing frequency.
- □ Increased hollow (equal or greater than 0.5") tine aeration.
- □ Increased solid tine (equal or greater than 0.5") aeration.
- Decreased hollow (equal or greater than 0.5") tine aeration.
- Decreased solid tine (equal or greater than 0.5") aeration.
 Made minimal changes in topdressing application quantity/frequency.
- □ Made minimal changes in cultivation practices.
- □ Increased "venting" practices.





How much sand to use for topdressing?

- Generic recommendation is 20-40 ft³ per 1000 sq. feet/yr (about 0.5 inch/M/yr)
 – UNL worked showed 20-24 ft³ for OM
 - UNL worked showed 20-24 ft management
- Varies by amount of:
 - Traffic
 - Grass species or cultivar
 - Nitrogen Applied
 - Water Applied
 - Microclimate/Location



Topdressing and the new bents

Easy or hard???





New bents = denser and more upright

How do you get rid of OM?

- Decomposition (microbial)
 - Increase surface area and aeration
 - Inoculation (???)
- Removal
 - Power raking, dethatching, core aerification
- Dilution
 - Topdressing

Clarification/over-simplification <u>regarding OM Management</u>on sand based rootzones

- One size does not fit all
- The optimal % OM has not been scientifically/universally determined and may be mythical
- Cultivation is critical to increase efficiency in sand incorporation
- Solid are not different than coring tines
- The benefits of topdressing continue to be identified.

Soldat's Hierarchy of Golf Course Soil Problems

- Compaction
- Excessive organic matter and thatch accumulation
- Layering

Layering

- Water retention is non-uniform
- Thatch/mat layers can store twice as much water than the root zone



NOT a function of drainage

Rather it is the difference in pore size distribution among layers

Layering

- Aeration alone not that effective
- Must topdress to dilute OM (change its pore size distribution) and use deficit irrigation













Acknowledgements • USGA

- USGA
 Environmental Institute for Golf
- Nebraska GCSA
- GCSA of South Dakota
- Peaks & Prairies GCSA
- Jacobsen, Toro, JRM & PlanetAir
- Nebraska Turfgrass Association