





















12

USGA Method of Putting Green Construction

- Original Specifications in 1960 • Since then, this method has been regularly researched,
- improved and amended • Other methods
  - California Style (1990)
  - Purr-wick (1966)
  - Dutch Green (1960-70; primarily the Netherlands)
  - Native soil or push-up greens



















Physical properties of sand-based root zones over time 1996-2005 University of Nebraska-Lincoln

19

#### Objectives

- Develop a better understanding of the impact of grow-in procedures on putting green establishment and performance.
- Investigate temporal changes in the soil physical properties of USGA putting greens.

20





























32



it green Year		umulation in a	
1	2	3	
0.65%	3.0%	6.0%	
USGA spec. g (by volume) o			



#### Materials and Methods

- 2004 rootzone samples taken below mat layer from each soil treatment and sent to Hummel labs for Quality Control Test (24 total samples)
- Tested against original quality control test (z-score).



























#### **Organic Matter Management Study**

Objectives

1. Determine if conventional hollow tine is more effective than solid tine aerification at managing organic matter accumulation

2. Determine if venting methods are effective at managing OM accumulation

Treati	ments	
Tine Treatment	Venting Treatment	
None	None	
2X Hollow tine	PlanetAir	
2x Solid tine	Hydroject	
	Bayonet tine	
	Needle tine	

50

49



51



52

#### **Materials and Methods**

- Green Age:
  - 12 years
  - 9 years
- Data collected:
  - OM% (pre-cultivation/monthly)
  - Single wall infiltration (monthly)

#### OM Data Analysis Year 1

- No differences between green age except for higher % in older green
- No differences among venting methods
- No interactions with solid/hollow/none



#### OM Data Analysis Year 2

- No differences between green age except for higher % in older green
- No differences among venting methods
- No interactions with solid/hollow/none
- No differences among solid/hollow/none

56



57



58

#### What these data do/don't suggest

- Cultivation, when topdressing quantity was equal, was insignificant as a means to control OM
- However, a superintendent must use whatever tools they have at their disposal to ensure sand is making it into the profile and not the mower buckets



NONE/NON – 5-10 days

- Solid & Hollow/NONE
   7-14 days
- Solid & Hollow/Venting
   14-18 days



#### **Project Objective**

#### National Survey

 Determine cause and effect relationship among maintenance practices and their interactions relative to surface OM accumulation

62



















69



- None of the variables collected, by themselves, or in combination with others, <u>predicted</u>OM
- Courses using >18 cubic ft\*/M of topdressing with or without "venting" had lower OM
- Of the <u>known</u> cultivars, no differences in OM were evident

\*1 ft<sup>3</sup> = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd<sup>3</sup> = 2700 lbs







"Advocates of solid-tine aeration report that they get the same benefits of thatch and organic matter reduction with less labor for the collection and removal of aeration cores. Whether you pull a core or use solid tines, it's all about sand volume and the ability to dilute organic matter in the rootzone. Regardless of the method, the most important factor is filling the hole with sand. It's all about dilution, and if you can do that with less of a mess and less labor, then solid-tine aeration is a viable alternative."

From: https://www.usga.org/content/usga/home-page/course-care/regional-updates/central-region/2018/solid-tineaeration-order-of-operations.html

74



2016 Survey Respondents via Greenkeeper







What these data do/don't suggest

- Cultivation, when topdressing quantity was equal, was insignificant in affecting OM
- Superintendents, however, must use whatever tools they have at their disposal to ensure sand is making it into the profile and not the mower buckets



80



81

79



82





#### Dryject/Tine Trial Fall 2021

Procore - 3" target depth on all tines

Sampled day after treatment in 1' depth increments to 4 "

except Dryject = 5"

- Check
- Hollow ½" ID
- Solid ½"OD
- DryJect 1 (3x3)
- Needle
- DryJect 2 (3x2)
- Needle + Solid
- Needle + Hollow

85

Freatment	% OM		
Check	4.5	а	
Hollow	3.7	b	<ul> <li>depths</li> <li>Dilution only</li> <li>Dryject and needle tine were least surface disruptive</li> </ul>
Needle	3.1	с	
DryJect (3x3)	2.7	d	
Needle + Hollow	2.3	d	
DryJect (3x2)	2.3	d	
Needle + Solid	2.3	d	unexpected
Solid	2.2	d	• Data is preliminary

86



#### 87

# Spring 2023 Tine Trial 26 tine types/configurations 2 devices (ProCore and DryJect) topdressing pre and post aerification Timing (spring/fall) OM by depth Surface and firmness using the USGA GS3 digital golf ball Equipment and Tine Support Provided by Exprime Expression Construction







### It matters how you manage the accumulating thatch/mat layer

- Cultivation has a significant impact. At minimum, use practices that help incorporate sand.
- Topdressing is critical. Can use a fine sand (0.25-5 mm) to ensure enough sand will be applied during summer, in combo with a medium (< 1 mm) with more aggressive aerification (core, solid or injection). Avoid sands of < 0.15.</li>

91





Key is matching your growth rate to optimize

topdressing + .....



94





Thank you and a great growing season!

Roch Gaussoin rgaussoin1@unl.edu @rockinsince57