

**It's about tine(s)**  
*(or a closer look at aeration and sand incorporation)*

**2024 OTF Conference + Show**

Roch Gaussoin, Emeritus Professor, University of Nebraska

1

Download presentation

2

**Acknowledgements**

- USGA Davis Program
- Environmental Institute for Golf
- Nebraska GCSA
- GCSA of South Dakota
- Peaks & Prairies GCSA
- Jacobsen, Toro, DryJect, Ceres Turf Inc, JRM
- Nebraska Turfgrass Association

3

**Historical Perspective: USGA Green Section Record and Precursors 1921-2024**

*Top 10 Key Word search      First mention; First "modern" mention*

4

**Reasons golf boomed post-WW2:**

- Prosperous economic times allowed recreational spending.
- Returning veterans looked for leisure activities.
- Golf on TV showcased the sport to wider audiences.
- Golf became part of corporate culture for networking and deals.
- New technologies and equipment made golf easier for the average player.
- Retirees had time to take up the game.
- Golf became part of suburban lifestyles and country club status.


*.....increase in traffic, participants and play led to the 1960 release of the USGA recommendations for green construction*

5

**OM accumulates as sand greens age**

**Green Age (years)**

6



7



8



9

### Organic Matter Management Study

**Objectives**

1. Determine if conventional hollow tine is more effective than solid tine aerification at managing organic matter accumulation
2. Determine if venting methods are effective at managing OM accumulation

10

### Treatments

Tine Treatment	Venting Treatment
None	None
2X Hollow tine	PlanetAir
2x Solid tine	Hydroject
	Bayonet tine
	Needle tine

11

### Treatments

Tine Treatment	Venting Treatment
None	None
2X Hollow tine	PlanetAir
2x Solid tine	Hydroject
	Bayonet tine
	Needle tine

15 Trts per Rep  
 6 Reps per year  
 2 different years  
 = A whole lot of fun for one graduate student or 180 trts

12

All treatments received the same topdressing quantity (22 ft<sup>3</sup>/M\*) but different frequency

**Equilibrated to identify differences of the practices in question**

\*1 ft<sup>3</sup> = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd<sup>3</sup> = 2700 lbs

13

**Materials and Methods**


- Green Age:
  - 12 years
  - 9 years
- Data collected:
  - OM% (pre-cultivation/monthly)
  - Single wall infiltration (monthly)

14

**OM Data Analysis Year 2**

- No differences between green age except for higher % in older green
- No differences among venting methods
- No interactions with solid/hollow/none
- No differences among solid/hollow/none

15



16

**What these data do/don't suggest**

- Cultivation, when topdressing quantity was equal, was insignificant as a means to control OM
- However, a superintendent must use whatever tools they have at their disposal to ensure sand is making it into the profile and not the mower buckets

17

**Topdressing interval relative to Tine/Venting combinations (22 cu ft/M)\***

- **NONE/NONE**
  - 5-10 days
- **Solid & Hollow/NONE**
  - 7-14 days
- **Solid & Hollow/Venting**
  - 14-18 days

\*Observed and calculated based on displacement and surface area opened

18


**Cultivation Effects on Organic Matter Concentration and Infiltration Rates of Two Creeping Bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L.) Putting Greens**

Charles J. Schmidt, Rachel E. Gaudinoff, Richard C. Shearman, Maria Mayo, and Charles S. Warriner


**Abstract**  
 Soil texture is commonly used to measure organic matter (OM) accumulation in golf course putting greens. Our objectives were to determine if below the surface is more affected by soil OM than above the surface. We used a 2x2 factorial design to evaluate the effect of OM on infiltration and soil OM concentration. The study was a 2x2 factorial experiment on two treatments: creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera L.) and creeping bentgrass (Agrostis stolonifera L.) + sand. The treatments were yellow-green, solid, or non-functional. Using a 2x2 factorial design, we evaluated infiltration and soil OM concentration. The results showed that infiltration rates were higher in the solid and non-functional treatments compared to the yellow-green treatment. Soil OM concentration was higher in the solid and non-functional treatments compared to the yellow-green treatment. The results suggest that soil OM concentration is a better indicator of infiltration rates than soil texture.

**Keywords:** infiltration, organic matter, soil texture, creeping bentgrass, putting greens.

**ORGANIC MATTER ACCUMULATION** in creeping bentgrass putting greens has been shown to be related to the accumulation of soil OM (Schmidt et al., 2015). Accumulation of OM on surface is due to putting green, covering a well-maintained surface that results in decreased infiltration (Schmidt et al., 2015).



19



20

**Project Objective**

- National Survey
- Determine cause and effect relationship among maintenance practices and their interactions relative to surface OM accumulation

21


**2006/07/08 Samples**

- Sixteen states
  - Nebraska, South Dakota, Iowa, Wyoming, Colorado, Washington, Wisconsin, Illinois, New Jersey, Minnesota, New Mexico, Montana, Hawaii, California, Connecticut, Arkansas.
- 117 golf courses sampled
  - More than 1600 samples

22




23



24


### Is the age effect misleading?

- Sampling issue:
  - Mat depth increases as green ages resulting in more OM in the same volume soil.



25


### Mat Development with Age




Green Age (years)

26

### A Standard Method for Measuring Putting Green Surface Organic Matter



27



28

### Survey Summary

- None of the variables collected, by themselves, or in combination with others, predicted OM
- Courses using >18 cubic ft\*/M of topdressing with or without “venting” had lower OM
- Of the known cultivars, no differences in OM were evident

\*1 ft<sup>3</sup> = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd<sup>3</sup> = 2700 lbs

29

### Organic Matter Concentration of Creeping Bentgrass Putting Greens in the Continental U.S. and Resident Management Impact

Charles J. Schmidt\*, Roch E. Gaussohn, and Sarah A. Gaussohn




© 2014 by the American Society of Golf Course Architects. All rights reserved. This article is intended to provide general information only and is not intended to constitute an offer of insurance or any other financial product. Please contact your insurance agent for more information. \*Corresponding author: schmidt@agca.org

30

### Toppdressing

Old Tom Morris (1821–1908) is thought to have discovered the benefits of topdressing accidentally when he spilled a wheelbarrow of sand on a putting green and noted how the turf thrived shortly afterward (Hurdzan, 2004).



J.B. Beard is his classic textbook "Turfgrass Science & Culture, 1973 writes:  
**"The most important management practice for OM management is topdressing"**

31

### Research Need (2004)

- Comprehensive evaluation of sand quantity, particle size, sampling protocol and cultivation methods

32



33

### Tine Trial Fall 2021

- Check
- Hollow ½" ID      ProcCore 648 - 3" target depth on all tines  
Dryject = 5"
- Solid ½"OD
- DryJect (3x3)      Sampled for OM the day after
- ¼" Solid (Needle)      Treatment in 1' depth increments to 4 "
- DryJect (3x2)
- Needle + Solid
- Needle + Hollow

34

Treatment	% OM 0-4"	
Check	4.5	a
Hollow	3.7	b
Needle	3.1	c
DryJect (3x3)	2.7	d
Needle + Hollow	2.3	d
DryJect (3x2)	2.3	d
Needle + Solid	2.3	d
Solid	2.2	d




- No differences among depths
- Dilution only
- Dryject and needle tine were least surface disruptive
- **Data is preliminary**

35

### Spring 2023 Tine Trial

- ~~9~~<sup>28</sup> tine types/configurations including Viper tines
- 2 devices (ProCore 648 and DryJect)
- Timing (spring/fall)
- Topdressing before or after
- Data
  - OM
  - Surface parameters using the USGA GS3
  - Infiltration

*Equipment and Tine Support Provided by*

Ceres Turf, Inc.      Heartland Golf & Turf Services LLC

36



37



38

Treatments (Spring, FB Oct 3 except DryJect on Oct 16)

- Main Plots (42' X 60' with a 6' border between)
  - 1. Topdress before tines with 0.25"(0.125" on October 2023) on surface (equates to 1 (1/2 fall) ton/1000 ft<sup>2</sup> or 20 ft<sup>3</sup>/1000ft<sup>2</sup>)
  - 2. Topdress after tines
- Sub-plots (tine treatments) set at 3" depth
  - 1. 5/8" Viper Nose™
  - 2. 1/2" Viper Nose™
  - 3. 3/8" solid
  - 4. 1/2" solid cross
  - 5. Untined control
  - 6. 1/4" solid
  - 7. .50" solid
  - 8. 3/8" hollow, side eject
  - 9. 1/2" solid cross
  - 10. .75" solid slicing
  - 11. 1/2" hollow, tapered
  - 12. 1/2" hollow side eject
  - 13. DryJect 3X3
  - 14. Untined Control
  - 15. DryJect 2X3

39




40



41



42



43



44

### Data Collection

- Organic matter, 3-5 days after treatment directly over aeration hole
- Infiltration approx. weekly
- NDVI (cover measured digitally) every few days
- Firmness
- Surface Moisture TDR 0-3'; 3-6"


- GS3
  - Ball roll
  - Smoothness
  - Trueness

45


### Fall 2023 Data Results (<.05 = statistical difference)

ANOVA	10-Oct	18-Oct	21-Oct	26-Oct		9-Oct	16-Oct	25-Oct
Effect	NDVI-1	NDVI-2	NDVI-3	NDVI-4	%OM	Infil-1	Infil-2	Infil-3
Topdressing (TD)	0.1161	0.5583	0.6987	0.2785	0.0466	0.3444	0.188	0.1061
Tine TRT	<.0001	0.0049	0.0353	0.114	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
TD*TRT	0.0761	0.925	0.2796	0.1175	0.0107	0.1	0.0076	0.4673

46




47




48






49




50




51



52



53



54

Fall 2023 GS3 Data Results ( $\leq .05$  = statistical difference)

Ball Roll 1 WAT		
Effect	F Value	Pr > F
TD	5.5	0.1437
TRT	4.44	<.0001
TRT*TD	2.85	0.0027

TD before aerification increased ball roll more for 1/2" or greater hollow tines than same diameter solid tines. Solid tines had higher ball roll than equivalent hollow tines. Effects were less evident 2 WAT.

55

Fall 2023 GS3 Data Results ( $\leq .05$  = statistical difference)

Trueness 1 WAT		
Effect	F Value	Pr > F
TD	0.16	0.7316
TRT	1	0.4689
TRT*TD	0.66	0.8037

Smoothness 1 WAT		
Effect	F Value	Pr > F
TD	0.33	0.6245
TRT	0.64	0.8234
TRT*TD	0.83	0.636

Results were similar and NS 2 & 3 WAT

56

Treatments would appear to be visually different and when data were captured ball deviations appeared evident. Visual STRI Smoothness data were not collected.

57

Deeper Dive Into Data


Confounding data due to excessive enthusiasm of researcher

Different statistical approach to isolate specific factors of interest

Orthogonal comparisons

This approach successfully separated out differences not evident from traditional ANOVA analysis for other data. GS3 data still needs to be investigated.

58




59

1/2 Solid	1/2 Hollow
% OM	
1.8	2.4

\*\*

Oct-25 Infiltration	
1/2 Solid	1/2 Hollow
Inch/hr	
2.8	6.6

60




61

- ### Early Results
- Lots of stuff going on
  - Topdressing before aeration, even with some hollow tines will incorporate more sand
  - Higher and prolonged infiltration greater for hollow tines 1/2" or larger than any solid tines
  - Viper tines had greatest increase in infiltration over time than any other tine
  - *Uninterrupted use of solid tines needs to be rethought*


62

- ### Spring 2024 Results
- Cumulative effect of 3 cultivation events
  - Similar outcomes to Fall 2023
  - "Better" GS3 data


63



64



65



66

Consider the Potential of Less-Aggressive Aeration, 2023  
 Elliott L. Dowling, regional director, East Region, USGA

**ISTRC**  
 International Sports Turf Research Center  
 Aeration Displacement Chart

Tine Size	1.25" x 1.25" Centers	1.5" x 1.5" Centers	2.0" x 2.0" Centers	2.5" x 2.5" Centers	3.0" x 3.0" Centers
1/4" Hollow Tines	3.14%	3.18%	1.32%	0.70%	
3/8" Hollow Tines	7.07%	4.91%	3.20%	1.77%	
1/2" Hollow Tines	12.57%	8.73%	4.91%	3.14%	
5/8" Hollow Tines		13.64%	7.67%	4.91%	
3/4" Hollow Vertidrain					1.32%
1" Hollow Vertidrain				7.07%	1.77%
1 1/4" Hollow Vertidrain					1.77%
1" Hollow Vertidrain					3.14%
7/8" Drill and Fill (7" Centers)					3.14%
Graden Verticutter 15 Blades at 1" Spacings	1mm Blade 3.93%	2mm Blade 7.87%	3mm Blade 11.81%		1.32%


**Notes:**  
 1/4" quad tines remove as much material as regular 1/2" hollow tines  
 3/8" minimum for ease of topdressing fill if replacement of material is required  
 for double aeration make two passes at approx. 37° (slightly less than 45°) to minimize overlap

67

**PROCORE 648 VS 648S: Is there a difference in tine displacement and sand reception?**

**N EXTENSION**

68



69

*Fall 2023*

- Is there a difference in solid tine displacement and sand reception?

70

**Champions Run, Omaha, NE**

Aerated on separate areas of the sand-based nursery putting green at 0.125" HOC, with 1/2" solid tines set at 3" with a 648S and 648. Each area was 60 ft<sup>2</sup>.

Sampled for OM with a 1" probe above aeration hole; 0-3" and 3-6" with 10 random locations per aerator. By extension, lower OM soon after aeration = greater sand incorporation.


71

**Results**

	648	648S
OM % 0-3"	2.2a	1.6b
OM % 3-6"	1.6a	1.4a

*Different letters within a row indicate statistically significant differences at P < .01 based on a paired t-test with 18df*

72

Contact Information	<a href="#">Presentation Download Link</a>
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Roch Gaussoin</li><li>• <a href="mailto:rgaussoin1@unl.edu">rgaussoin1@unl.edu</a></li></ul>	
<b>Thank you!</b>	
 UNIVERSITY of NEBRASKA LINCOLN	