It's about tine(s)

(or a closer look at aeration and sand incorporation)



Rocky Mountain Regional Turfgass Association 2024 Conference

Roch Gaussoin, Emeritus Professor, University of Nebraska

EXTENSION

1

Acknowledgements

- USGA Davis Program
- Environmental Institute for Golf
- Nebraska GCSA
- GCSA of South Dakota
- Peaks & Prairies GCSA
- Jacobsen, Toro, DryJect, Ceres Turf Inc, JRM
- Nebraska Turfgrass Association

3

Historical Perspective: USGA Green Section Record and Precursors 1921-2024

Top 10 Key Word search ***First mention; First "modern" mention***



4

Reasons golf boomed post-WW2:

- Prosperous economic times allowed recreational spending.
- Returning veterans looked for leisure activities.
- Golf on TV showcased the sport to wider audiences.
- Golf became part of corporate culture for networking and deals.
- New technologies and equipment made golf easier for the average player.
- Retirees had time to take up the game.
- Golf became part of suburban lifestyles and country club status.

.....increase in traffic, participants and play led to the 1960 release of the USGA recommendations for green construction

5

OM accumulates as sand greens age



6



7

8

9

Organic Matter Management Study

Objectives

1. Determine if conventional hollow tine is more effective than solid tine aerification at managing organic matter accumulation
2. Determine if venting methods are effective at managing OM accumulation

10

Treatments

Tine Treatment	Venting Treatment
None	None
2X Hollow tine	PlanetAir
2x Solid tine	Hydroject
	Bayonet tine
	Needle tine

11

Treatments

Tine Treatment	Venting Treatment
None	None
2X Hollow tine	PlanetAir
2x Solid tine	Hydroject
	Bayonet tine
	Needle tine

15 Trts per Rep
6 Reps per year
2 different years
= A whole lot of fun for one graduate student or 180 trts

12

All treatments received the same topdressing quantity (22 ft^3/M*) but different frequency

Equilibrated to identify differences of the practices in question

**1 ft^3 = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd^3 = 2700 lbs*

13

Materials and Methods

- Green Age:
  - 12 years
  - 9 years
- Data collected:
  - OM% (pre-cultivation/monthly)
  - Single wall infiltration (monthly)

14

OM Data Analysis Year 2

- No differences between green age except for higher % in older green
- No differences among venting methods
- No interactions with solid/hollow/none
- No differences among solid/hollow/none

15

Effect of Tines on OM after 2 yrs



16

What these data do/don't suggest

- Cultivation, when topdressing quantity was equal, was insignificant as a means to control OM
- However, a superintendent must use whatever tools they have at their disposal to ensure sand is making it into the profile and not the mower buckets

17

*Topdressing interval relative to Tine/Venting combinations (22 cu ft/M)**

- NONE/NONE
  - 5-10 days
- Solid & Hollow/NONE
  - 7-14 days
- Solid & Hollow/Venting
  - 14-18 days

*Observed and calculated based on displacement and surface area opened

18


RESEARCH

Cultivation Effects on Organic Matter Concentration and Infiltration Rates of Two Creeping Bentgrass (*Agrostis stolonifera* L.) Putting Greens

Charles J. Schmid,* Roch E. Gaussoin, Robert C. Shearman, Martha Mamo, and Charles S. Wortmann

Abstract

19

Infiltration (in/hr)



20

Project Objective

- National Survey
  - Determine cause and effect relationship among maintenance practices and their interactions relative to surface OM accumulation

21

2006/07/08 Samples

- Sixteen states
  - Nebraska, South Dakota, Iowa, Wyoming, Colorado, Washington, Wisconsin, Illinois, New Jersey, Minnesota, New Mexico, Montana, Hawaii, California, Connecticut, Arkansas.
- 117 golf courses sampled
  - More than 1600 samples

22

23

Green Age



24

Is the age effect misleading?

- Sampling issue:
  - Mat depth increases as green ages resulting in more OM in the same volume soil.



25

Mat Development with Age

26

A Standard Method for Measuring Putting Green Surface Organic Matter

27

Topdressing



28

Survey Summary

- None of the variables collected, by themselves, or in combination with others, ***predicted*** OM
- Courses using >18 cubic ft*/M of topdressing with or without "venting" had lower OM
- Of the ***known*** cultivars, no differences in OM were evident

**1 ft³ = 100 lbs of dry sand; yd³ = 2700 lbs*

29


Organic Matter Concentration of Creeping Bentgrass Putting Greens in the Continental U.S. and Resident Management Impact

Charles J. Schmid,* Roch E. Gaussoin, and Sarah A. Gaussoin

30

Topdressing

Old Tom Morris (1821–1908) is thought to have discovered the benefits of topdressing accidentally when he spilled a wheelbarrow of sand on a putting green and noted how the turf thrived shortly afterward (Hurdzan, 2004).

J.B. Beard is his classic textbook "Turfgrass Science & Culture, 1973 writes:

"The most important management practice for OM management is topdressing"

31

Research Need (2004)

- Comprehensive evaluation of sand quantity, particle size, sampling protocol and cultivation methods

32

33

Tine Trial Fall 2021

- Check
- Hollow ½" ID
- Solid ½"OD
- DryJect (3x3)
- ¼" Solid (Needle)
- DryJect (3x2)
- Needle + Solid
- Needle + Hollow

Procore 648 - 3" target depth on all tines
Dryject = 5"

Sampled for OM the day after
Treatment in 1' depth increments to 4 "

34

Treatment	% OM 0-4"	
Check	4.5	a
Hollow	3.7	b
Needle	3.1	c
DryJect (3x3)	2.7	d
Needle + Hollow	2.3	d
DryJect (3x2)	2.3	d
Needle + Solid	2.3	d
Solid	2.2	d

- No differences among depths
- Dilution only
- Dryject and needle tine were least surface disruptive
- ***Data is preliminary***

35

Spring 2023 Tine Trial

- ~~9~~ 28 tine types/configurations including Viper tines
- 2 devices (ProCore 648 and DryJect)
- Timing (spring/fall)
- Topdressing before or after
- Data
  - OM
  - Surface parameters using the USGA GS3
  - Infiltration

Equipment and Tine Support Provided by

TORO. CTI Ceres Turf, Inc. DryJect WET Heartland Golf & Turf Services LLC

36

https://www.usga.org/content/usga/home-page/course-care/regional-updates/central-region/2018/solid-tine-aeration-order-of-operations.html



37

38

Treatments (Spring, FB Oct 3 except DryJect on Oct 16)

- Main Plots (42' X 60' with a 6' border between)
  - 1. Topdress before tines with 0.25"(0.125" on October 2023) on surface (equates to 1 (1/2 fall) ton/1000 ft^2 or 20 ft^3/1000ft^2)
  - 2. Topdress after tines
- Sub-plots (tine treatments) set at 3" depth
  - ***1. 5/8' Viper Nose™***
  - ***2. 1/2" Viper Nose™***
  - 3. 3/8" solid
  - ***4.*** ½" solid cross
  - 5. Untined control
  - 6. ¼ " solid
  - 7. .50" solid
  - ***8. 3/8" hollow, side eject***
  - 9. 1/2" solid cross
  - 10. .75" solid slicing
  - **11. 1/2" hollow, tapered**
  - **12. 1/2" hollow side eject**
  - **13. DryJect 3X3**
  - 14. Untined Control
  - 15. **DryJect 2X3**

39



40

41



42

Plot after dragging and several mowings and irrigation



43

44

Data Collection

- Organic matter, 3-5 days after treatment directly over aeration hole
- Infiltration approx. weekly
- NDVI (cover measured digitally) every few days
- Firmness
- Surface Moisture TDR 0-3’; 3-6”
- GS3
  - –Ball roll
  - –Smoothness
  - –Trueness

45

Fall 2023 Data Results (<.05 = statistical difference)

ANOVA	10-Oct	18-Oct	21-Oct	26-Oct		9-Oct	16-Oct	25-Oct
Effect	NDVI-1	NDVI-2	NDVI-3	NDVI-4	%OM	Infil-1	Infil-2	Infil-3
Topdressing (TD)	0.1161	0.5583	0.6987	0.2785	**0.0466**	0.3444	0.188	0.1061
Tine TRT	**<.0001**	**0.0049**	**0.0353**	0.114	**<.0001**	**<.0001**	**<.0001**	**<.0001**
TD*TRT	0.0761	0.925	0.2796	0.1175	**0.0107**	0.1	**0.0076**	0.4673

46



47



48



49



50

51



52



53

54

Fall 2023 GS3 Data Results (<.05 = statistical difference)

Ball Roll 1 WAT		
Effect	**F Value**	**Pr > F**
TD	**5.5**	**0.1437**
TRT	**4.44**	**<.0001**
TRT*TD	**2.85**	**0.0027**

TD before aerification increased ball roll more for ½" or greater hollow tines than same diameter solid tines. Solid tines had higher ball roll than equivalent hollow tines. Effects were less evident 2 WAT.

55

Fall 2023 GS3 Data Results (≤.05 = statistical difference)

Trueness 1 WAT		
Effect	F Value	Pr > F
TD	0.16	0.7316
TRT	1	0.4689
TRT*TD	0.66	0.8037

Smoothness 1 WAT		
Effect	F Value	Pr > F
TD	0.33	0.6245
TRT	0.64	0.8234
TRT*TD	0.83	0.636

Results were similar and NS 2 & 3 WAT

56

Treatments would appear to be visually different and when data were captured ball deviations appeared evident. Visual STRI Smoothness data were not collected.

57

Deeper Dive Into Data

Confounding data due to excessive enthusiasm of researcher

Different statistical approach to isolate specific factors of interest

Orthogonal comparisons

This approach successfully separated out differences not evident from traditional ANOVA analysis for other data. GS3 data still needs to be investigated.

58



59

1/2 Solid	1/2 Hollow
% OM	
1.8	2.4

**

Oct-25 Infiltration	
1/2 Solid	1/2 Hollow
Inch/hr	
2.8	6.6

60



Prior to study, area was aerated with ½" solid tines 2X/yr and topdressed with medium-fine sand for 5 years. Initial infiltration in 2018 was 12"/hr.

61

Early Results

- Lots of stuff going on
- Topdressing before aeration, even with *some* hollow tines will incorporate more sand
- Higher and prolonged infiltration greater for hollow tines ½" or larger than any solid tines
- Viper tines had greatest increase in infiltration over time than any other tine
- ***Uninterrupted use of solid tines needs to be rethought***

62

Spring 2024 Results

- Cumulative effect of 3 cultivation events
- Similar outcomes to Fall 2023
- "Better" GS3 data

63



All remaining treatments were not different than the control within 2 weeks

64



65



66

Consider the Potential of Less-Aggressive Aeration, 2023
Elliott L. Dowling, regional director, East Region, USGA

ISTRC
International Sports Turf Research Center
Aerification Displacement Chart

Tine Size	1.25" x 1.25" Centers	1.5" x 1.5" Centers	2.0" x 2.0" Centers	2.5" x 2.5" Centers	5.0" x 5.0" Centers
1/4" Hollow Tines	3.14%	2.18%	1.23%	0.79%	
3/8" Hollow Tines	7.07%	4.91%	2.76%	1.77%	
1/2" Hollow Tines	12.57%	8.73%	4.91%	3.14%	
5/8" Hollow Tines		13.64%	7.67%	4.91%	
5/8" Hollow Vertidrain					1.23%
3/4" Hollow Tines				7.07%	1.77%
3/4" Hollow Vertidrain					1.77%
1" Hollow Tines					3.14%
1" Hollow Vertidrain					3.14%
7/8" Drill and Fill (7" Centers)					1.23%
Graden Verticutter 15 Blades at 1" Spacings	1mm Blade 3.93%	2mm Blade 7.87%	3mm Blade 11.81%		

Notes:
1/4" quad tines remove as much material as regular 1/2" hollow tines
3/8" minimum for ease of topdressing fill if replacement of material is required
For double aerification make two passes at approx. 37° (slightly less than 45°) to minimize overlap

67

PROCORE 648 VS 648S: Is there a difference in tine displacement and sand reception?



EXTENSION

68

69

Fall 2023

- Is there a difference in solid tine displacement and sand reception?

70

Champions Run, Omaha, NE

Aerated on separate areas of the sand-based nursery putting green at 0.125" HOC, with ½" solid tines set at 3" with a 648S and 648. Each area was 60 ft^2.

Sampled for OM with a 1" probe above aeration hole; 0-3" and 3-6" with 10 random locations per aerator. By extension, lower OM soon after aerification = greater sand incorporation.

71

Results

	648	648S
OM % 0-3"	2.2a	1.6b
OM % 3-6"	1.6a	1.4a

Different letters within a row indicate statistically significant differences at P < .01 based on a paired t-test with 18df

72

Contact Information

- Roch Gaussoin
- rgaussoin1@unl.edu

Thank you!

UNIVERSITY of NEBRASKA LINCOLN

73